MINUTES OF THE MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAILS COMMITTEE

February 3, 1999
Maricopa Association of Governments Office, Ocotillo Room
302 North First Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

VOTING MEMBERS

*Rod Ramos, Scottsdale, Co-chairman Eric Mayer for Bob Erdman, MCDOT

Doug Davis, Mesa, Co-chairman Lisa Ruane, Peoria

Carl Doak, Chandler

*Jeff Van Skike, Phoenix (St. Trans.)

Mark Weiner, Gilbert

Roger Olsen, Phoenix (Water)

Pat Thurman, Glendale Andy Goh, Tempe

*Joe Evans, Goodyear

ADVISORY MEMBERS

*Mike Bonar, ACEA Peter Kandaris, SRP Engineering

*James Pulice, Jr., AGC

*Jim Grose, AGC

Paul Nebeker, UTCA

Tom Domizi, UTCA

Jeff Benedict, ARPA

OTHERS PRESENT

Steve Watters, Advanced Drainage Systems Paul Ward, MAG

* Members neither present nor represented by proxy.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:35 p.m.

2. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of January 6, 1999 were approved as written. (See Discussion Item 4 a.)

3. New Cases:

Rod Ramos of Scottsdale submitted a case that will change the bedding of corrugated HDPE pipe. ASTM D 2321 - 89 was submitted as support documentation for the case.

4. General Discussion:

a. Roger Olsen noted that the wording on the cover page for the 1999 revisions and the January 6,

1999 minutes, Item 4 a. was confusing. It states that the revisions are through 1999 when 1999 is not over. The one and only revision for 1999 was placed on sale January 15, 1999 therefore, when the cover sheet states through that revision, it is past the date of January 15, 1999. The wording will be reviewed prior to next years revisions to help clarify the dates.

- b. Paul Ward provided an update on placing the MAG Specifications and Details on the Internet. The MAG Specifications and Details did not get on the Internet last month. A meeting with MAG senior staff will be held tomorrow to discuss the subject. What was not known at last month's meeting was that MAG's website is through Maricopa County's connection and not directly from MAG. This may require additional permission not anticipated last month. If access is granted, the specifications will be on by the end of this month. The same question prevails and that is space. The County's computer has limited space.
- c. Rod Ramos polled the members as to their use of Standard Detail 223: Median Nose Transition. Peoria, Glendale and Gilbert do not use the detail. Mesa and Tempe use the detail on special occasions. Neither member knew the criteria as to when the detail is used.
- d. Rod Ramos polled the members as to their use of the MAG 250: Driveway Entrance. In particular, the location of the curb transition. Most of the cities are widening the depressed curb to allow for better turning radius on arterial streets or from commercial properties. Rod will review the supplemental details from each agency and will consider preparing a case for the committee's consideration.
- e. Doug Davis reviewed each agency's supplemental details and noticed that three or four details are the same or similar. One of the details is the placement of steel plates for utility trenches. This will be a good detail to present to the committee. Doug will consider the case if time permits. Paul Nebeker noted that not all of the agencies are enforcing the details the same. It is difficult to know when the detail will be enforced.
- f. Jeff Benedict informed the committee of two items:
 - 1) First, the AGC and ARPA are both working on developing a claims resolution procedure. They are work independently of each other. AGC is contacting each agency and attempting have each agency to develop standard wording. Whereas, ARPA is approaching the state legislature in obtaining approval in the ARS.
 - 2) AASHTO has publish a new asphalt criteria using the Superpave® mixes similar to that published in the MAG specifications.
- g. Peter Kandaris provided an update on their experience with the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC), regarding the vertical clearance required for potholing. SRP took the case to the highest level in ACC and did not get the ruling changed. ACC does not consider the two (2) foot clearance in vertical direction. The contractor, agency, etc. will be required to provide potholes on street bores no mater what the vertical distance will be.

5. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 2:53 p. m.